

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF United States Patent and Trademark Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONTRMATION NO. 10/699,415 10/31/2003 Philip Carbone SGS-101-DIVI 2100 7590 07/27/2004 **EXAMINER** Pauley Petersen & Erickson LU, JIPING Suite 365 2800 W. Higgins Road ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Hoffman Estates, IL 60195

3749 DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/699,415	CARBONE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jiping Lu	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) 11-22 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)		(PTO 440)
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary — Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)
apor reorsyman bate <u>rovstrus</u> .	o) ∐ Ollief	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 11, 16, 17, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joyce (U. S. Pat. 5,435,716).

Joyce shows an apparatus for combusting a fuel and air mixture within a combustion chamber comprising a combustion chamber 8, a exhaust flue 9, and a pressure relief void 15 same as claimed.

3. Claims 11, 16, 17, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Joyce (U. S. Pat. 6,435,140).

Joyce shows an apparatus for combusting a fuel and air mixture within a combustion chamber comprising a combustion chamber 8, a exhaust flue 9, and a pressure relief void 15 same as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,415 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce (U. S. Pat. 5,435,716 or 6,435,140) in view of Brandt et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,797,358).

The apparatus for combusting a fuel and air mixture of Joyce as above includes all that is recited in claims 18-21 except for a plurality of burners mounted in the combustion chamber. Brandt el al. teach a concept of providing the water heater combustion chamber with a plurality of burners 120 same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Joyce with a plurality of burners as taught by Brandt et al. in order to improve the heating efficiency. With regard to the claimed material of the burner surface, examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the burner art to form the burner surface by a plurality of ceramic fibers coated

Application/Control Number: 10/699,415

Art Unit: 3749

with a silicon carbide material. Claim 21 is a product by process claim and that the product itself

Page 4

does not depend on the process of making it. Therefore, examiner did not patentable weight for

the process of making limitation of "welded" in claim 21.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

iping Lu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3749

J. L.